# DIEBACK SURVEY OF THE ERAMBERT SEED ORCHARD

by

P. A. Dugar, M. J. Weiss, P. H. Peacher, J. F. Wolf, N. A. Overgaard, and R. C. Loomis

#### INTRODUCTION

State and Private Forestry personnel, Resource Protection Unit, Alexandria Field Office, visited the Erambert Seed Orchard on May 21, 1975. During this visit, some terminal and branch dieback, coupled with excessive pitching, was observed on Mississippi slash, Pinus elliottii Engelm. Upon subsequent investigations, similar symptoms were noted on Alabama loblolly, Pinus taeda L., and Mississippi shortleaf, Pinus echinata Mill. These findings prompted the survey of each geographical source in the orchard to determine how much terminal and branch dieback was present.

# **METHODS**

On November 4 -5, an 8 - 10 percent ground cruise survey was conducted on each slash, loblolly, shortleaf, and longleaf georgraphical source within the Orchard. Rows within each source were systematically selected so as to insure coverage of the entire source. All trees in each row were examined until a predetermined number of trees had been examined. The data recorded for each tree were: terminal dieback, terminal dieback pitching, branch dieback, branch dieback pitching, or pitching exclusive of dieback. Possible border effects were eliminated by not using the beginning and end rows of each source.

# RESULTS

The results of the survey are summarized in Table 1. About 2 percent of the trees examined had dieback or pitching symptoms. Much of the dieback observed was the result of a pine needle midge, Contarinia sp., infestation the Orchard had been experiencing since 1971 (Overgaard, et al. 1976).

2.													
Branch dieback w/Pitching	1	1			1	١	1	1	1		1	1	e
Terminal dieback	п	l	1	9	1	1	<b></b>	r	1	" <b>I</b>	1	Ц	ĸ
Branch Pitching	I	1	ŀ	-	I	i	2	H	-	l	1	1	'n
Branch Dieback	80	1	I	н	ı	ł	4	1	2	I	ı	20	70
Terminal Pitching	2	1	ľ	4	н	H	7	۲,	ı	I	ı	Ц	15
-11	· 35												
Terminal	12	1	ı	-	ł	1	m	-	1	I	I	-2	19
No. Trees Examined	, 500	200	09	200	High Gum Yield Slash 120	200	96	200	500	09	. 44	200	3,883
	B		20	ė.	sta	ш.	a£		Leaf	lolly	leaf	lolly	
Species	Ala. Loblolly	ısh	Fla. Longleaf	ısp	Yiel	Miss. Longleaf	Miss. Shortleaf	ash	No. Ala. Longleaf	No. Miss. Loblolly	So. Ala. Longleaf	So. Miss. Loblolly	8
Spe	Lot	Ala, Slash	Lor	Slash	e Gun	3	S SI	Mss. Slash	Ala.	Miss	Ala.	Miss	
	Ala,	Ala,	Fla.	Fla.	High	Mise	Miss	Miss	No.	No.	So.	So.	TOTAL

a/ All figures are actual numbers.

The small amount of damage in each geographical source precluded analysis of possible damage differences among sources or within clones of these sources.

### DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Terminal and branch dieback was very light at the time of this survey. Most of the dieback was apparently a result of previous years' damage caused by the pine needle midge.

In addition to insect associated damage, pitch canker of pine caused by Fusarium lateritium f. pini was cited as a possible cause for some of the dieback and pitching. Fusarium spp. isolated from damage samples were submitted to Pennsylvania State University for positive identification. These cultures were identified as Fusarium oxysporum and Fusarium moniliforme. Neither of these organisms has been reported as the cause of pitch canker.

Forest Service research personnel are aware of the diebackpitching problem and they are currently trying to determine the cause.

According to Overgaard, et al., the severe dieback problem at the Erambert Seed Orchard due to pine needle midge infestation has been greatly reduced. This trend was noted as a result of the Orchard's discontinued use of spraying with the insecticide dimethoate. No control practices are recommended for pine needle midge until further investigation into the life cycle and habitat of the needle midge is conducted.

Presently, no control procedures are recommended for the pitching problem since disease incidence is very low and neither the causal organism nor the mode of transmission is known. Seed orchard personnel should be alert for recurrence of both problems and notify us as soon as possible upon its detection.

### REFERENCES

Overgaard, N. A., H. N. Wallace, C. Stein, and G. D. Hertel. 1976. Needle midge (Diptera:Cecidomyiidae) damage to loblolly pines in the Erambert Seed Orchard.